Introduction

In order for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and better meet the needs of the public, a visitor satisfaction survey was conducted at 20 BLM recreation sites in 9 states during fiscal year 2019 (FY19). Of the 20 units conducting the survey this year, 16 units successfully administered the survey. The survey was developed to measure each site’s performance related to GPRA Mission Area 3, Goal 2, Strategy 1 (as specified in the DOI 2019/2020 Annual Performance Plan & 2018 Report) - Enhance Public Satisfaction at DOI Sites by enhancing the enjoyment and appreciation of our natural and cultural heritage; and Mission Area 2, Goal 3, Strategy 2: Ensure effective collection and application of recreation fees. The information collected during the survey will also help the BLM better serve the public. The survey collected visitor satisfaction data regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, recreation use management, resource management, BLM staff and service, programs, commercial recreation operations, educational and interpretive materials, fees, accessibility for visitors with disabilities, activities, and demographics.

The GPRA specific results of the visitor satisfaction surveys conducted in FY19 are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations can be found on page two. Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions regarding the overall quality of their experience at this site. The satisfaction measure below (right) is a combined proportion of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for GPRA Mission Area 3, Goal 2.1 and should be used when reporting performance for this goal. (NOTE: the satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" proportions due to rounding.)

The response rates for the BLM units surveyed ranged from 58% to 95%. The graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "value for fee paid," which is the primary performance measure for GPRA Mission Area 2, Goal 3.2, can be found on page 2.
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Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that illustrate the GPRA specific survey results. This report summarizes data from the eight goal categories regarding BLM amenities, staff, and services. Each graph includes the following information:

• The number of visitor responses for the indicator;
• The proportion of responses for each answer choice;

Graphs for quality indicators also include:

• A "satisfaction measure" that combines the proportion of total responses which were "Very good" or "Good;"
• An average (mean) evaluation score where a number closer to five reflects a more positive visitor response;
• Quality indicators are based on the following scale:
  "Very poor" = 1, "Poor" = 2, "Average" = 3, "Good" = 4, "Very good" = 5;

NOTE Graph proportions may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Procedure

A representative sample of the general visitor population were surveyed at 16 BLM units throughout the country in FY19.

The data reflect visitor opinions about these sites’s facilities, management, services, educational opportunities, and fees during the survey period. Visitor activities and selected demographics were also captured.

Returned surveys were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category.

The number of respondents for each indicator is reported at the top of each figure. All proportions are reported as whole percentages while averages are rounded to the nearest tenth.

Caution is advised when interpreting any data with fewer than 30 responses. When this occurs, the word "CAUTION!" is included above the graph. This report excludes any graphs or calculations for questions with fewer than 10 responses. "NA" has been inserted in place of excluded satisfaction and evaluation calculations.

For most indicators, the survey data are expected to be accurate within ±6% of the population with 95% confidence. This means that if different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar (±6%) 95 out of 100 times.

The value of recreation opportunity and services was at least equal to the fee asked to pay
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Visitor Information

Quality of BLM visitor information
FY19: 2254 Respondents

- Very good: 58%
- Good: 32%
- Average: 9%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%

FY19 Satisfaction measure: 89%
Mean score: 4.4

BLM protection of natural and cultural resources
FY19: 2167 Respondents

- Very good: 60%
- Good: 31%
- Average: 8%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

FY19 Satisfaction measure: 91%
Mean score: 4.5

Overall condition of developed facilities
FY19: 2274 Respondents

- Very good: 61%
- Good: 32%
- Average: 6%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY19 Satisfaction measure: 93%
Mean score: 4.5

Performance of BLM staff and service
FY19: 2128 Respondents

- Very good: 88%
- Good: 10%
- Average: 2%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY19 Satisfaction measure: 98%
Mean score: 4.9

Visitor and recreation management
FY19: 2100 Respondents

- Very good: 58%
- Good: 33%
- Average: 9%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

FY19 Satisfaction measure: 91%
Mean score: 4.5

Interpretive and educational programs
FY19: 1895 Respondents

- Very good: 56%
- Good: 32%
- Average: 32%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

FY19 Satisfaction measure: 88%
Mean score: 4.4